PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Robert Corvino
DOCKET NO.: 04-22066.001-R-2
PARCEL NO.: 18-07-306-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Robert Corvino, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein of Schiller, Klein & McElroy, P.C. of Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review (board).

The subject property consists of a three-year-old, two-story single-family dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 6,021 square feet of living area and located in Lyons Township, Cook County. The residence contains six and one-half bathrooms, a full basement, air conditioning, two fireplaces and a three-car garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the PTAB claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant offered three suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the subject. These properties consist of two-story single-family dwellings of masonry construction and range in age from three to 13 years. The comparables have two, four or five bathrooms with half-baths and partial or full basements, two finished. The homes are air-conditioned and all have fireplaces and three or four-car garages. The comparables contain between 5,825 and 6,985 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$146,432 to \$167,367 or from \$22.88 to \$25.32 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final improvement assessment of \$172,980, or \$28.73 per square foot of living area, was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board offered three suggested comparable properties located within a quarter mile of the (Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{no\ change}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the \underline{COOK} County Board of Review is

warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 21,811 IMPR. \$172,980 TOTAL: \$194,791

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/TMcG. 6/08

subject. The comparables consist of two-story single-family dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction and range in age from four to six years. The comparables contain four or six bathrooms with half-baths, full basements, one finished, air conditioning, fireplaces and three or four-car garages. The comparables range in size from 6,217 to 6,965 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of between \$178,609 and \$199,857 or from \$28.69 to \$29.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject property's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the PTAB finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has failed to overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the board's comparables one and two and the appellant's comparables one and two are the comparables more similar to the subject with some differences in living area to be considered. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$22.88 to \$29.23 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$28.73 falls within the range established by these properties. The appellant's comparable two and the board's comparable two are less similar due to differences in living area. considering the similarities and the differences in the suggested comparables when compared to the subject property, the PTAB finds the evidence is insufficient to effect a change in the subject's current assessment.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and no reduction is warranted. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law $(735 \, \text{LCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$ and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\overline{\text{PETITION}}$ AND $\overline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$ WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.